WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Oma	ar Enrique Reyes-Hernandez	Case Number:	15-9139MJ	
was preser	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 and represented by counsel. I conclude by der the detention of the defendant pending trial	a preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a p	FII preponderance of the evidence that:	NDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Uni	ted States or lawfully admitted for	permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charge	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces r Enforcement, placing him/her beyond th deported or otherwise removed.	erein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contac	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	enforcement contact by fleeing fro	m law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imp	risonment.	
The Court at the	e Court incorporates by reference the materia e time of the hearing in this matter, except as	I findings of the Pretrial Services noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	CON	CLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition		earance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTION	S REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correct pending ap order of a c	e defendant is committed to the custody of the ions facility separate, to the extent practicable peal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasourt of the United States or on request of an all deliver the defendant to the United States M	e, from persons awaiting or serving on able opportunity for private con attorney for the Government, the arshal for the purpose of an appearshal for the purpose of an appears.	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
	AI I EALS AI	ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
to deliver a District Cou from the da objections	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this decopy of the motion for review/reconsideration art. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., ate of service of a copy of this order or after the with the district court. Failure to timely file obj.R.CRIM.P.	to Pretrial Services at least one effective December 1, 2009, Defe oral order is stated on the recor	day prior to the hearing set before the endant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written	
Pretrial Ser	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a vices sufficiently in advance of the hearing be and investigate the potential third party custodials.	efore the District Court to allow Pr		
DATE:	June 9, 2015	Unite	Eileen S. Willett d States Magistrate Judge	